Interview Summary	09/381,828	SKOLD, ROLF	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arlen Soderquist	1743	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Arlen Soderquist.	(3)		
(2) <u>Eugene Perez</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 March 2004.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)  applicant's representati	ve]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: all generally.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)∏ was not reached. h)⊠	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>informed applicant's repressionse that the after final communication filed March 3, 2</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	esentative that an advisory and 2004.  The ments which the examiner and open of the amendments that	action would be co	er the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has alread THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF T	ly been filed, APP IIS INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS SUMMARY
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's siç	nature, if required	<u> </u>

Application No.

Applicant(s)